

# MIMO Systems Properties Preservation under SPR Substitutions

G. Fernández-Anaya<sup>△</sup> and J. C. Martínez-García<sup>◇1</sup>

<sup>△</sup>Departamento de Ciencias  
Universidad Iberoamericana  
Lomas de Santa Fe  
01210 México D.F.  
MÉXICO

<sup>◇</sup>Programa de Investigación en Matemáticas Aplicadas y Computación  
Instituto Mexicano del Petróleo  
Eje Central Lázaro Cárdenas 152  
Col. San Bartolo Atepehuacan  
07730 México D.F.  
MÉXICO

## Abstract

This paper tackle the preservation of positive real properties in Multi-Input Multi-Output transfer functions, when performing substitutions of the Laplace variable  $s$  by strictly positive real functions of relative degree equal to zero. We consider also the preservation of stability properties of a class of unforced linear time-invariant systems affected by a memoryless, possibly time-varying nonlinear, input which depends on the system output.

## 1 Introduction

As is pointed out in [9], the concept of *positive realness* of a transfer function plays a central role in *Stability Theory*. The definition of rational Positive Real functions (*PR* functions) arose in the context of *Circuit Theory*. In fact, the driving point impedance of a passive network is rational and positive real. If the network is *dissipative* (due to the presence of resistors), the driving point impedance of the network is a Strictly Positive Real transfer function (*SPR* function). Thus, positive real systems, also called *passive systems*, are systems that do not generate energy. The celebrated Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov (**KYP**) lemma (see for instance the Lefschetz-Kalman-Yakubovich version of this result in [9]), established the key role that strict positivity realness plays in the obtention of Lyapunov functions associated to the stability analysis of a particular class of nonlinear systems, *i.e.*, Linear Time Invariant systems (**LTI** systems) with a single memoriless nonlinearity. In fact, positive realness has been extensively studied by the Automatic Control community, see for instance the studies concerning: absolutely stability [7], characterization and construction of robust strict positive

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author, on leave from Departamento de Control Automático, CINVESTAV-IPN, México. E-mail address: martinez@ctrl.cinvestav.mx.

real systems [3], relationships between positivity realness of proper and stable **LTI** systems and stabilizing solutions of Riccati equations [12], stability of adaptive control schemes based on parameter adaptation algorithms [1], and passive filters [2]. As far as the frequency-described continuous **LTI** systems are concerned, the study of control-oriented properties (like stability) resulting from the substitution of the complex Laplace variable  $s$  by rational transfer functions have been little studied by the Automatic Control community. However, some interesting results have recently been published:

As far as robust stability of polynomial families is concerned, some results are given in [10] (for a particular class of polynomials), when interpreting substitutions as nonlinearly correlated perturbations on the coefficients. More recently, in [4], some results for proper and stable real rational SISO functions and coprime factorizations were proved, by making substitutions with  $\alpha(s) = (as + b) / (cs + d)$ , where  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  are strictly positive real numbers, and with  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

Section 2 is dedicated to some preliminaries, mainly concerning the characterization of several classes of real positive functions. We tackle in Section 3 the preservation of positive real properties in Multi-Input Multi-Output transfer functions, when performing substitutions of the Laplace variable  $s$  by strictly positive real functions of relative degree equal to zero. Our Section 4 deals with the preservation of stability properties of a class of unforced linear time-invariant systems affected by a memoryless, possibly time-varying nonlinear, input depending on the system output.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give the notation, the basic definitions and some necessary results for the sequel.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Notation : } C^+ &= \{\sigma + j\omega \in C : \sigma > 0\}, \text{ } ImC \equiv \{z \in C : Re(z) = 0\}, \\ R &= (-\infty, \infty), \overline{C}_e^+ \equiv C^+ \cup \{\infty\} \cup ImC, \overline{C}^+ \equiv C^+ \cup ImC, C_e^+ \equiv C^+ \cup \{\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $RC$  be the Euclidean domain of the proper, stable and rational real functions,  $R(s)$  the field of real rational functions,  $R_p(s)$  the ring of real rational and proper functions and  $R[s]$  the ring of the real polinomials.*

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $p(s) \in R(s)$  be a rational function of complex variable  $s = \sigma + j\omega$ .*

1. [7]  $p(s)$  is Positive Real (PR) if:
  - (a)  $p(s)$  is real for  $s$  real; (b)  $Re[p(s)] \geq 0$  for all  $Re[s] > 0$ .
2. [7]  $p(s)$  is Strictly Positive Real (SPR) if  $p(s-\varepsilon)$  is PR for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
3. [7], [5]  $p(s)$  of zero relative degree is SPR (SPR0 function) if and only if:
  - (a)  $p(s)$  is analytic in  $Re[s] \geq 0$ ; (b)  $Re[p(j\omega)] > 0$  for all  $\omega \in R$ .

4. [8]  $p(s)$  is *Extended Strictly Positive Real (ESPR)* if it is SPR and  $\text{Re}[p(j\infty)] > 0$ .
5. [8], [6]  $p(s)$  is *Strongly Strictly Positive Real (SSPR)* if it is SPR and  $\text{Re}[p(\infty)] > 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [9]  $\text{SPR0} := \{G(s) \in RH^\infty \mid G(s)\} \text{ is SPR0.}$

Some properties of SPR0 functions are:

1. If  $p(s)$  is a SPR0 function, then  $1/p(s)$  is also a SPR0 function.
2. If  $p_1(s)$  and  $p_2(s)$  are SPR0 functions, then  $\alpha p_1(s) + \beta p_2(s)$  is a SPR0 function for  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  (see [9]).

We can at this level present our:

**Lemma 2.1.** [5] *Consider a transfer function  $p(s)$  be given.*

1. If  $p(s) \in RH^\infty$  with  $q(s)$  any SPR0 function, then  $p(q(s)) \in RH^\infty$ .
2. If  $p(s), q(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , then  $p(q(s)), q(p(s)) \in \text{SPR0}$ .
3. If the function  $q(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , then  $q(\overline{C}_e^+) \subseteq C^+$ .
4. If  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , then  $p(s)$  is *ESPR*.

*Proof.* Items 1, 2 and 3 were proved in [5].

Item 4:

Since  $p(s)$  has zero relative degree, then  $\text{Re}[p(j\omega)]$  has also zero relative degree, while  $\text{Im}[p(j\omega)]$  has  $n$  relative degree, with  $n$  denoting of the degree of the denominator of  $p(s)$  (which coincides with the degree of its numerator). Then,  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \text{Re}[p(j\omega)]$  is a positive real number and  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \text{Im}[p(j\omega)] = 0$ .  $\square$

The following is a well-known result:

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p(s) \in R(s)$ , then:*

$$\text{Re}[p(j\omega)] = \text{Re}[p(-j\omega)]$$

and:

$$\text{Im}[p(j\omega)] = -\text{Im}[p(-j\omega)]$$

for all  $\omega \in R$ .

### 3 Results for MIMO systems

We present in this section some results concerning the preservation of real positivity properties in Multi-Input Multi-Output (MIMO) systems, when performing the substitution of the Laplace variable  $s$  by SPR0 functions.

**Definition 3.1.** [7] A  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $Z(s)$  is called *Positive Real (PR)* if:

1. All elements of  $Z(s)$  are analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] > 0$ .
2. Any pure imaginary pole of any element of  $Z(s)$  is a simple pole and the associated residue matrix of  $Z(s)$  is positive semidefinite Hermitian, and:
3. For all real  $\omega$  for which  $j\omega$  is not a pole of any element of  $Z(s)$ , the matrix  $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega)$  is positive semidefinite ( $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega) \geq 0$ ).

**Remark 3.1.** Again, a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $Z(s)$  is called *Strictly Positive Real (SPR)*, if  $Z(s-\varepsilon)$  is PR for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Note also, that if  $Z(s)$  is SPR, then there exist some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $Z(s-\varepsilon)$  is PR.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $Z(s)$  be a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, and suppose  $\det [Z(s) + Z^T(-s)]$  is not identically zero. Then,  $Z(s)$  is SPR if and only if:

1.  $Z(s)$  is Hurwitz i.e.,  $Z(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  where  $\mathbf{RH}^\infty$  is the set of matrices with elements in  $\mathbf{RH}^\infty$ ,
2.  $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega) > 0$  for all real  $\omega$ , and
3. one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- (a)  $Z(\infty) + Z^T(\infty) > 0$ ;
- (b)  $Z(\infty) + Z^T(\infty) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega^2 [Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega)] > 0$ ;
- (c)  $Z(\infty) + Z^T(\infty) \geq 0$  and there exist positive constants  $\sigma_0$  and  $\omega_0$  such that

$$\omega^2 \sigma_{\min} [Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega)] \geq \sigma_0, \quad \forall |\omega| \geq \omega_0.$$

**Definition 3.2.** A  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $Z(s)$  is called *Extended Strictly Positive Real (ESPR)* if it is SPR and  $Z(j\infty) + Z^T(-j\infty) > 0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** [8] Let  $Z(s)$  be a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix. Then:

1.  $Z(s)$  is called *strongly SPR (SSPR)*, if  $Z(s)$  is SPR and  $Z(\infty) + Z^T(\infty) > 0$ .
2.  $Z(s)$  is called *weak SPR (WSPR)*, if  $Z(s)$  is SPR and:  $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ .

3.  $Z(s)$  is called MSPR, if  $Z(s)$  is PR and  $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in R$ .

The following result is evident:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $Z(s)$  be a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix. then:*

1. *If  $Z(s)$  is a SPR function matrix, then  $Z(s+\varepsilon)$  is a SPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, for each  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ .*
2. *If  $Z(s)$  is a PR function matrix, then  $Z(s+\varepsilon)$  is a SPR function matrix for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

We can at this level present our:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Consider a transfer function matrix  $Z(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  be given.*

1. *If  $Z(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$ , then  $Z(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ ,*
2. *if  $Z(s)$  is a SPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $Z(p(s))$  is a ESPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .*
3. *If  $Z(s)$  is a PR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $Z(p(s))$  is a ESPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed now to prove Theorem 3.1 item by item:

1. Each element  $Z_{ij}(s)$  of the matrix  $Z(s)$  is in  $\mathbf{RH}^\infty$ , then by Lemma 2.1  $Z_{ij}(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$ .
2. For the proof of item 2 we consider two cases:
  - (a) When:

$$\det [Z(s) + Z^T(-s)] \neq 0,$$

except for a finite number of  $s \in R$ . In this case we can use Lemma 3.1 for  $Z(p(s))$ , and noting that item 1 of Lemma 3.1 corresponds to item 1 of this Theorem 3.1. Therefore, we only need to prove items 2 and 3 of Lemma 3.1.

- Item 2 in Lemma 3.1: since  $Z(s)$  is SPR, then  $Z(j\omega) + Z^T(-j\omega) > 0$  for all real  $\omega$ . In consequence:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) = \\ Z(\text{Re}[p(j\omega)] + j\text{Im}[p(j\omega)]) + Z^T(\text{Re}[p(-j\omega)] + j\text{Im}[p(-j\omega)]) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Now by item 2 in Definition 3.1,  $\text{Re}[p(j\omega)] > 0$  for all real  $\omega$ . Taking  $\eta(\omega) = \text{Re}[p(j\omega)]$ ,  $\delta(\omega) = \text{Im}[p(j\omega)]$ , noting that by Lemma 2.2  $\text{Re}[p(j\omega)] = \text{Re}[p(-j\omega)]$  and  $\text{Im}[p(j\omega)] = -\text{Im}[p(-j\omega)]$  for all  $\omega \in R$ ,

and considering that  $Re [p(j\omega)]$  and  $Im [p(j\omega)]$  are real numbers for all real  $\omega$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) = \\ Z(\eta(\omega) + j\delta(\omega)) + Z^T(\eta(\omega) - j\delta(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\omega \in R$ . Thus, we can consider  $Z(s + \varepsilon)$  instead of  $Z(p(s))$ , but by item 2 of Lemma 3.1 and item 3 (b) of Definition 2.2:

$$Z(\varepsilon + j\omega) + Z^T(\varepsilon - j\omega) > 0$$

for all real  $\omega$ . Then, we conclude that:

$$Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) > 0$$

for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$  and for all real  $\omega$ .

- Item 3 Lemma 3.1: since  $Z(s)$  is SPR, by Lemma 3.2  $Z(s + \varepsilon)$  is also a SPR function for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus, by item 2 of Lemma 3.1 we have that:

$$Z(\varepsilon + j\omega) + Z^T(\varepsilon - j\omega) > 0$$

for all real  $\omega$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In particular for  $\omega = 0$ :

$$Z(\varepsilon) + Z^T(\varepsilon) > 0,$$

for all real  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Now taking  $\varepsilon_p = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} p(s)$  and considering that  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , we obtain that:

$$Z(\varepsilon_0) + Z^T(\varepsilon_0) > 0$$

i.e.:

$$Z(p(\infty)) + Z^T(p(\infty)) > 0$$

for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

(b) When:

$$\det [Z(s) + Z^T(-s)] = 0.$$

In this case, by Definition 3.2 and Remark 3.1 we need to prove that  $Z(p(s - \varepsilon_0))$  is PR for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Since  $Z(s)$  is SPR, item 1 of the Lemma 3.2 is similar to item 1 of this Theorem 3.1. Moreover:

Since  $Z(s)$  is SPR, it has not purely imaginary poles. Now,  $Re [p(j\omega)] > 0$  (for all real  $\omega$ ) and  $Z(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , then the elements of  $Z(p(s))$  has not purely imaginary poles, for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

Now, we proceed to prove that:

$$Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) \geq 0.$$

Suppose that  $p(s - \varepsilon_1)$  is a PR rational function for some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ . Then, by an elemental argument of continuity of the SPR0 function  $p(s)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_1$  and  $p(s - \varepsilon_0) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Then as  $Z(s)$  is SPR and  $p(s - \varepsilon_0) \in \text{SPR0}$ , we have for  $Z(p(s - \varepsilon_0))$  that:

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\text{Re}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)] + j\text{Im}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)]) + \\ & Z^T(\text{Re}[p(-j\omega - \varepsilon_0)] + j\text{Im}[p(-j\omega - \varepsilon_0)]) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all real  $\omega$ , by item 2, case (a) (i.e.,  $\det[Z(s) + Z^T(-s)] \neq 0$ ) of this Theorem 3.1. Thus,  $Z(p(s - \varepsilon_0))$  is PR and then  $Z(p(s))$  is SPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Now, it only is necessary to prove that:

$$Z(p(j\infty)) + Z^T(p(-j\infty)) > 0,$$

for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . By Lemma 2.1 item 4, it is always true that  $\varepsilon_{pr} := \text{Re}[p(j\infty)] > 0$  and  $\text{Im}[p(j\infty)] = 0$ , for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Then:

$$Z(p(j\infty)) + Z^T(p(-j\infty)) = Z(\varepsilon_{pr}) + Z^T(\varepsilon_{pr}).$$

But  $Z(\varepsilon_{pr}) + Z^T(\varepsilon_{pr}) > 0$  for all real  $\varepsilon_{pr} > 0$ , therefore  $Z(p(j\infty)) + Z^T(p(-j\infty)) > 0$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

3. We proceed now to prove that if  $Z(s)$  is a PR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $Z(p(s))$  is a ESPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Assuming positive realness of  $Z(s)$ , we first prove that  $Z(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  and that each element of  $Z(p(s))$  has not purely imaginary poles. Finally, we prove that  $Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) > 0$  for all real  $\omega$  and that  $Z(p(j\infty)) + Z^T(p(-j\infty)) > 0$ .

- (a) Each element  $Z_{ij}(s)$  of the matrix  $Z(s)$  is analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] > 0$ , now by Definition 2.2, item 3 (a)  $p(s)$  is analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ . By Lemma 2.1 item 3  $p(\overline{C}_e^+) \subseteq C^+$ , then  $Z_{ij}(p(s))$  is analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ , and in consequence  $Z_{ij}(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$ , i.e.,  $Z(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .
- (b) Note that any purely imaginary pole of some element of  $Z(s)$  is simple pole (its multiplicity order is just equal to one) and it is of the form:

$$\frac{1}{s^2 + k^2},$$

then substituting  $s$  by the SPR0 function  $p(s) = \frac{N_p(s)}{D_p(s)}$  with  $N_p(s), D_p(s) \in R[s]$ , we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{p^2(s) + k^2} = \frac{D_p^2(s)}{N_p^2(s) + k^2 D_p^2(s)}.$$

But  $k^2$  is an SPR0 function and by properties 1) and 2) of SPR0 functions, the sum of SPR0 functions is also a SPR0 function, and the multiplicative inverse of an SPR0 function is a SPR0 function, then the function  $\frac{1}{p^2(s)+k^2}$  a SPR0 function and has not purely imaginary poles and the same statement is valid for each element of  $Z(p(s))$ , for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

- (c) Now, it is necessary to prove that  $Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) > 0$  for all real  $\omega$  and for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Suppose that  $p(s - \varepsilon_1)$  is PR rational function for some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ . Then, by an elemental argument of continuity of the SPR0 function  $p(s)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_1$  and such that  $p(s - \varepsilon_0) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Now, as  $Z(s)$  is PR and  $p(s - \varepsilon_0) \in \text{SPR0}$ , by a similar argument to the proof of the item 2 case (a) in this theorem we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\text{Re}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)] + j\text{Im}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)]) + \\ & Z^T(\text{Re}[p(-j\omega + \varepsilon_0)] + j\text{Im}[p(-j\omega + \varepsilon_0)]) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.:

$$Z(\sigma(\omega) + j\gamma(\omega)) + Z^T(\sigma(\omega) - j\gamma(\omega)),$$

where  $\sigma(\omega) = \text{Re}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)]$  and  $\gamma(\omega) = \text{Im}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)]$ , and by Lemma 2.2 we have that:

$$\text{Re}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)] = \text{Re}[p(-j\omega + \varepsilon_0)]$$

and:

$$\text{Im}[p(j\omega - \varepsilon_0)] = -\text{Im}[p(-j\omega + \varepsilon_0)].$$

We profit at this level from the following fact: if  $Z(s)$  is PR, then  $Z(s + \varepsilon)$  is SPR for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In consequence:

$$Z(\sigma(\omega) + j\gamma(\omega)) + Z^T(\sigma(\omega) - j\gamma(\omega)) > 0.$$

Therefore,  $Z(p(j\omega)) + Z^T(p(-j\omega)) > 0$  for all real  $\omega$  and for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Therefore, since  $Z(p(s - \varepsilon_0))$  is PR for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , then  $Z(p(s))$  is SPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . The constraint  $Z(p(j\infty)) + Z^T(p(-j\infty)) > 0$  is just proved as in item 2 of this proof.

□

Please remark that by the definition of SSPR, if  $Z(s)$  is either SPR or PR, then  $Z(p(s))$  is SSPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , too. In what follows we apply the results corresponding to Theorem 3.1 to the matrix function classes introduced in Definition 3.2.

**Definition 3.4.** *Let  $Z(s)$  be a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix.*



1. [6]  $Z(s)$  is called bounded real (BR) if:

(a) All elements of  $Z(s)$  are analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ , and:

(b)  $I - Z^T(-j\omega)Z(j\omega) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently, the condition b) can be replaced by:

(c)  $\|Z(s)\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

2. [6]  $Z(s)$  is called strictly bounded real (SBR) if:

(a) All elements of  $Z(s)$  are analytic for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ , and:

(b)  $I - Z^T(-j\omega)Z(j\omega) > 0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . Again, the condition b) can be replaced by:

(c)  $\|Z(s)\|_\infty < 1$ .

**Remark 3.2.** If the transfer function matrix  $Z(s)$  is SBR, then  $I - D^T D > 0$ , where  $D := Z(\infty)$ .

The following corollary follows:

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $Z(s)$  is ESPR, SSPR, WSPR or MSPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $Z(p(s))$  is ESPR and SSPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** [1], [11] Consider a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $Z(s)$  be given. Then:

1. Suppose that  $Z(s)$  satisfies  $\det(Z(s) + I) \neq 0$  for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ , then  $Z(s)$  is ESPR if and only if:

$$H(s) = (I - Z(s))(I + Z(s))^{-1}$$

is SBR. Also,  $Z(s)$  is PR if and only if  $H(s)$  is BR.

Equivalently, item 1 can be replaced by:

2. Consider a  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $H(s)$  satisfying  $\det(I + H(s)) \neq 0$ , for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ . Then,  $H(s)$  is SBR if and only if:

$$Z(s) = (I + H(s))^{-1}(I - H(s))$$

is ESPR. Also,  $H(s)$  is BR if and only if  $Z(s)$  is PR.

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that  $Z(s)$  and  $H(s)$  are  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrices, such that  $\det(Z(s) + I) \neq 0$  and  $\det(I + H(s)) \neq 0$  for  $\text{Re}[s] \geq 0$ , then:

1. If  $Z(s)$  is PR, SPR, ESPR, SSPR, WSPR or MSPR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $H(p(s))$  is SBR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .
2. If  $H(s)$  is either SBR or BR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $Z(p(s))$  is ESPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .
3. If  $H(s)$  is either SBR or BR  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix, then  $H(p(s))$  is SBR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .
4. If  $G(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  is such that either  $\|G(s)\|_\infty < \gamma$  or  $\|G(s)\|_\infty \leq \gamma$ , then  $\|G(p(s))\|_\infty < \gamma$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

*Proof.* 1. By Theorem 3.1, and Corollary , if  $Z(s)$  is PR, SPR, ESPR, SSPR, WSPR or MSPR, then  $Z(p(s))$  is ESPR and SSPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Now by Lemma 3.3 item 1,  $Z(p(s))$  is ESPR if and only if the transfer function matrix:

$$H(p(s)) = (I - Z(p(s))) (I + Z(p(s)))^{-1}$$

is SBR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

2. By Lemma 3.3 item 2, if  $H(s)$  is either SBR or BR, then  $H(s)$  is SBR if and only if:

$$Z(s) = (I + H(s))^{-1} (I - H(s))$$

is ESPR or PR, respectively. Now, by Theorem 3.1  $Z(p(s))$  is ESPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .

3. This item is easily proved just applying item 2 of this theorem and as a consequence of Lemma 3.3 item 1.
4. From Definition 3.4 items 1 (c) and 2 (c), item 3 of this Proposition 3.1 can be written as follows:

If  $M(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  is such that either  $\|M(s)\|_\infty < 1$  or  $\|M(s)\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then  $\|M(p(s))\|_\infty < 1$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Now define  $M(s) := \gamma^{-1}G(s)$ . Remark that  $\gamma > 0$  implies  $\|\gamma^{-1}G(s)\|_\infty = \gamma^{-1} \|G(s)\|_\infty$ , by item 3 of this Proposition 3.1, we obtain the result.  $\square$

## 4 Results on absolute stability

Consider the unforced system described by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \\ y = C(t)x, \\ u(t) = -\varphi(t, y(t)), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where:  $x \in R^n$ ,  $u, y \in R^\rho$ ,  $(A, B)$  is controllable,  $(A, C)$  is observable, and  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \times R^\rho \rightarrow R^\rho$  is a memoryless, possibly time-varying nonlinearity which is piecewise continuous in  $t$  and locally Lipschitz in  $y$ .  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are real constant matrices. The so-called sector condition for  $\varphi$  is given by:

$$[\varphi(t, y) - K_{\min} y]^T [\varphi(t, y) - K_{\max} y] \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Gamma \subset R^\rho \quad (4.2)$$

For some real matrices  $K_{\min}$  and  $K_{\max}$ , where  $K = K_{\max} - K_{\min}$  is a positive definite symmetric matrix and the interior of  $\Gamma$  is connected and contains the origin. If  $\Gamma = R^\rho$ , then  $\varphi$  satisfies the sector condition globally, in which case it is said that  $\varphi$  belongs to a sector  $[K_{\min}, K_{\max}]$ . We define now the following systems:

$$\begin{cases} G_T(s) = G(s)[I + K_{\min}G(s)]^{-1}, \\ Z_T(s) = [I + K_{\max}G(s)][I + K_{\min}G(s)]^{-1}, \\ G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.1.** Consider the system (4.1), where  $\varphi$  satisfies a sector condition (4.2). The system is absolutely stable if the origin is globally uniformly asymptotically stable for any nonlinearity in the given sector.

We can now present the main result of this section:

**Proposition 4.1.** Consider the system (4.1), where  $(A, B)$  is controllable,  $(A, C)$  is observable, and  $\varphi(t, y)$  satisfies the sector condition (4.2) globally. If  $G_T(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  and  $Z_T(s)$  is a PR function matrix (i.e., the system is uniformly asymptotically stable). Then:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_p x(t) + B_p u(t), \\ y(t) = C_p x(t) + D_p u(t), \\ u(t) = -\varphi(t, y(t)), \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

is absolutely stable for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ , where  $(A_p, B_p, C_p, D_p)$  is a minimal realization of the following  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix:  $G(p(s)) = C_p(sI - A_p)^{-1}B_p + D_p$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $p(s)$  is a zero relative degree rational transfer function, then in general for realization of  $G(p(s))$ ,  $D_p \neq 0_{\rho \times \rho}$ . The multivariable circle criterion (Theorem 10.1 in [7]) establishes that under the conditions of this theorem, absolute stability of the system (4.1) is obtained, if  $G_T(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  and  $Z_T(s)$  is SPR. Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} G_T(p(s)) &= G(p(s))[I + K_{\min}G(p(s))]^{-1} \\ Z_T(p(s)) &= [I + K_{\max}G(p(s))][I + K_{\min}G(p(s))]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Theorem 3.1 item 1: if  $G_T(s) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$ , then  $G_T(p(s)) \in \mathbf{RH}^\infty$  for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 item 3: if  $Z_T(s)$  is PR, then  $Z_T(p(s))$  is SPR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ . Therefore, by the multivariable circle criterion, the system (4.3) is absolutely stable.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *This result is a generalization of the multivariable circle criterion. Under the same hypothesis of the multivariable circle criterion, absolute stability of the system (4.3) is obtained for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ .*

**Corollary 4.1.** *Consider the system (4.1) where  $A$  is Hurwitz,  $(A, B)$  is controllable,  $(A, C)$  is observable, and  $\varphi(y)$  is a time-invariant nonlinearity that satisfies the sector condition (4.2) globally with  $K_{\min} = 0$  and a positive definite symmetric  $K_{\max}$ . Suppose that  $K_{\max}\varphi(y)$  is the gradient of a scalar function and  $\int_0^y \varphi^T(\tau)K_{\max}d\tau \geq 0, \forall y \in \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , or is satisfied globally. Then, the system:*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \bar{A}_p x(t) + \bar{B}_p u(t), \\ y(t) = \bar{C}_p x(t) + \bar{D}_p u(t), \\ u(t) = -\varphi(y(t)) \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

*is absolutely stable, where  $(\bar{A}_p, \bar{B}_p, \bar{C}_p, \bar{D}_p)$  is a minimal realization of the  $\rho \times \rho$  proper rational transfer function matrix  $Z(p(s)) = (1 + \eta p(s))G(p(s))$ , if there exists  $\eta \geq 0$  (with  $-\frac{1}{\eta}$  not an eigenvalue of  $\bar{A}_p$  for  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$ ) such that  $Z_1(s) = I + (1 + \eta s)G(s)$  is PR.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1 item 3:  $Z_1(p(s))$  is SPR if  $Z_1(s)$  is PR for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$  such that  $\eta \geq 0$ , where  $-\frac{1}{\eta}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\bar{A}_p$ . Now, since  $I + K_{\max}(1 + \eta p(s))G(p(s))$  is SPR, using the Lemma 10.3 in [7] for the system  $Z(p(s))$ , absolute stability of the system (4.4) is obtained for each  $p(s) \in \text{SPR0}$  such that  $\eta \geq 0$ , where  $-\frac{1}{\eta}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\bar{A}_p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *The multivariable Popov criterion (Theorem 10.3 in [7]), establishes that under the conditions of this corollary, absolute stability of the system (4.1) with  $u = -\varphi(y)$  is obtained if  $Z_1(s) = I + (1 + \eta s)K_{\max}G(s)$  is SPR. This corollary is a partial extension of the multivariable Popov criterion and the Lemma 10.3 in [7].*

## References

- [1] B. D. O. Anderson and S. Vongpanitlerd: *Network Analysis and Synthesis. A modern Systems Approach*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, USA, 1972.
- [2] B. D. O. Anderson, R. Bitmead, C. Johnson, P. Kokotovic, R. Kosut, I. Mareels, L. Praly, and B. Riedle: *Stability of Adaptive Systems - Passivity and Averaging Analysis*, MIT Press, Cambridge, U.S.A., 1982.
- [3] B. D. O. Anderson, S. Dasgupta, P. Khargonekar, K. J. Krauss and M. Mansour, "Robust Strict positive realness: characterization and construction", *IEEE Transactions on circuits and Systems*, vol. 37, pp. 869-876, 1990.
- [4] G. Fernández, S. Muñoz, R. A. Sánchez, and W. W. Mayol, "Simultaneous stabilization using evolutionary strategies". *Int. J. Contr.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1417-1435, 1997.

- [5] G. Fernández, “Preservation of SPR functions and Stabilization by Substitutions in SISO Plants”. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 2171-2174, 1999.
- [6] W. M. Haddad and D. S. Bernstein, “Explicit Construction of Quadratic Lyapunov Functions for the Small Gain, Positivity, Circle, and Popov Theorems and Their Application to Robust Stability Part I: Continuous-Time Theory”. *Int. J. Robust and Nonlinear Control*, Vol. 3, pp. 313-339, 1993.
- [7] H. K. Khalil: *Nonlinear Systems*. Englewood-Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall International Editions, USA, 1996.
- [8] R. Lozano, B. Brogliato, B., Maschke, and O. Egeland: *Dissipative Systems Analysis and Control: Theory and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2000.
- [9] K. S. Narendra and A. M. Annaswamy: *Stable Adaptive Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, ISBN 0-13-840034-2, 1989.
- [10] L. Wang, “Robust stability of a class of polynomial families under nonlinearly correlated perturbations”, *System and Control Letters*, vol. 30, pp 25-30, 1997.
- [11] M. G. Safonov, E. A. Jonckheere, M. Verma and D. J. Limebeer, “Synthesis of positive real multivariable feedback systems”. *Int. J. Contr.* vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 817-842, 1987.
- [12] K. Zhou, J. C. Doyle and k. Glover: *Robust and Optimal Control*, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc., Simon & Schuster, 1995.